# IAP7 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 APR 2006

PCT



Docket No.: 09600-00035-US

(PATENT)

### N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Ksenia Egorova et al.

Application No.: 10/549782

Confirmation No.: Not Yet Assigned

Filed: September 19, 2005

Art Unit: N/A

For: THERMALLY STABLE AMIDASES

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

# TRANSMITTAL OF TRANSLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Attached is a copy of the translation of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability for PCT/EP2004/001430.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 09600-00035-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: April 3, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Christine M. Hansen

Registration No.: 40,634

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant



PTO/SB/92 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Hedistren Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application No. (if known): 10/549782

Attorney Docket No.: 09600-00035-US

## Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

April 3, 2006 Date

Span At Marshall
Signature
Jean M. Marshall
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Registration Number, if applicable

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Transmittal Of Translation Of International Preliminary Report On

Patentability (1 page)

International Preliminary Report on Patentability (10 pages)

Return Postcard

### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

### PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44his.3(c) and 72.2)

ACKERMANN, Voachim
Postfach 11 13 86
60048 Frankfurt
ALLEMAGNE

Owv
Overteilen

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 202dq07.wo

International application No. PCT/EP2004/001430

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year)
16 February 2004 (16.02.2004)

**Applicant** 

**DEGUSSA AG et al** 

Transmittal of the translation to the applic	ant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

KR

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 75

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file refere	ence			
202dg07.wo	FOR FURTI	HER ACTION	See Form PCT IPEA 416	
International application No.		ling date (day month year)	Priority date (day month year)	
PCT/EP2004/00:	,		21.03.2003	
Applicant DEGUSSA AG	on (IPC) or national classificatio	on and IPC		
2. This REPORT consists	of a total of 9	sheets, including	International Preliminary Examining Authority ag this cover sheet.	
3. This report is also acco	mpanied by ANNEXES, compri	ising:		
a. (sent to the	applicant and to the Internation	nal Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:	
Instru	ctions).  which supersede earlier sheets.	but which this Authority con	amended and are the basis for this report and or ale 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative usiders contain an amendment that goes beyond in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental	
related thereto Section 802 of	The transfer of the transfer o	ly, as indicated in the Supple	er of electronic carrier(s))  containing a sequence listing and/or tables mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see	
4. This report contains ind	ications relating to the following	g items:		
Box No. I	Basis of the report			
Box No. II	Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial app			ive step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial citations and explanations supporting such statement			ty, inventive step or industrial applicability:	
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the internation	onal application		
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the inte	ernational application		
ite of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	s report	
ime and mailing address of the I	PEAEP	Authorized officer		
esimile No.		Telephone No.		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/001430

Box No. 1	Busis of the report		
1. With r	regard to the language, this report is based on the under this item.	he international application in the language in wl	nich it was filed, unless otherwise
	This report is based on translations from the ori- which is the language of a translation furnished	ginal language into the following language for the purposes of:	
	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b	· •	
	publication of the international application	1 (Rule 12.4)	
	international preliminary examination (Ru		
2. With re receiving this rep	egard to the elements of the international appli- ing Office in response to an invitation under Ai porti:	cation, this report is based on (replacement shee rticle 14 are referred to in this report as "origi	ets which have been furnished to the nally filed" and are not annexed to
u	he international application as originally filed fi	umished	
⊠ ս	he description:	•	
p	nages 1-22		as originally filed furnished
pa	ages*		as strightany fried furthshed
pa	ages*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
th	ne claims:		
no	os. <b>1-21</b>		
			as originally filed furnished
			th any statement) under Article 19
		received by this Authority on	
	e drawings:		
	eets 1/4-4/4	•	as originally filed/furnished
she	eets*	received by this Authority on	
she	eets*	received by this Authority on	
i a s₁	equence listing and/or any related table(s) - see	Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	<b>;</b>
3. The	e amendments have resulted in the cancellation	of:	
	the description, pages		
	the claims, nos.		
	the drawings, sheets figs		
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (speci		
they	is report has been established as if (some of) they have been considered to go beyond the disclos	ne amendments annexed to this report and listed sure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Bo	below had not been made, since
	the description, pages		
	and the second s		
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specif		
If item 4 a	applies, some or all of those sheets may be mark		

Bo	y No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Statemen	1	
	Novel	ty (N) Claims 1, 3, 9	YES
		Claims 2, 4-8, 10-21	
	Inven	tive step (IS) Claims	YES
		. Claims 1-21	
	Indust	rial applicability (IA) Claims 1-21	
		Claims	
_			
2.		and explanations (Rule 70.7)	
	Refe	rence is made to the following documents:	
	D1 -	DAMADACE EMPL (Online) EDI. 20 Inl. 2001 (2001 07	
	D1:	DATABASE EMBL [Online] EBI; 20 July 2001 (2001-07-	
		20) 'Bacillus stearothermophilus glutamyl-tRNAGIn	
		amidotransferase subunit C (gatC), glutamyl-	
		tRNAGIn amidotransferase subunit A (gatA), and	
		glutamyl-tRNAGIn amidotransferase subunit B (gatB)	
		genes, complete cds.' Database accession no.	
		AY040860 XP002281305	
	D2:	KOBAYASHI M ET AL: 'AMIDASE COUPLED WITH LOW-	
		MOLECULAR-MASS NITRILE HYDRATASE FROM RHODOCOCCUS	
		RHODOCHROUS J1. SEQUENCING AND EXPRESSION OF THE	
		GENE AND PURIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE	
		GENE PRODUCT' EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF BIOCHEMISTRY,	
		BERLIN, DE, Vol. 217, 1993, pages 327-336,	
		XP000652066 ISSN: 0014-2956	
	D3:	D'ABUSCO ANNA SCOTTO ET AL: 'Molecular and	
		biochemical characterization of the recombinant	
		amidase from hyperthermophilic archaeon Sulfolobus	
		solfataricus' EXTREMOPHILES, Vol. 5, No. 3, June	
		2001 (2001-06), pages 183-192, XP002281301 ISSN:	
		1431-0651	
	D4:	DATABASE GENBANK PROTEIN [Online] NIH; 6 June 2002	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

(2002-06-06) PARKHILL, J. ET AL.: 'Putative DNA helicase [Salmonella enterica subsp. Enterica serovar Typhi]' Database accession no. CAD06784 XP002281306 & PARKHILL, J. ET AL.: 'Complete genome sequence of a multiple drug resistant salmonella enterica serovar typhi CT18' NATURE, Vol. 413, 25 October 2001 (2001-10-25), pages 848-852, XP002965014

The present application relates to a gene and protein of an amidase isolated from the thermophile bacterium Pseudonocardia thermophila.

D1 describes a glutamyl-tRNA amidotransferase (amidase family) from Bacillus stearothermophilus. The subunit gatA has 59% nucleic acid identity with SEQ 4 and 40% amino acid identity with SEQ 3. The amino acids 447-460 from gatA have 86% identity with SEQ 2. Thus, D1 deprives claim 2, and consequently also dependent claims 4-8 and 10, of novelty. With regard to claims 5-7, attention is drawn to the fact that these claims do not characterise the enzyme any further, since the origin could be recombinant in nature, e.g. not limited to that which can actually be isolated from a given wild-type species. D2 describes an amidase of Rhodococcus rhodochorus J1 (gene and protein). The latter has 70% nucleic acid identity with SEQ 4 and 67% amino acid identity with SEQ 3. The amino acids 473-485 have 78% identity with SEQ 2. The enzyme enantioselectively converts amides to S-acids, has an optimum temperature of 55 °C and pH stability of 6.7-10. Consequently, D2 deprives claims 2, 4-8 and 10-21 of

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/001430

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

novelty. D3 discloses an amidase of *Sulfolobus* solfataricus J1 (gene and protein). The latter has 50% amino acid identity with SEQ 3. The amino acids 474-487 have 79% identity with SEQ 2. The enzyme enantioselectively converts amides to S-acids, has an optimum temperature of 95 °C and an optimum pH of 7.5. Various reactions are carried out at 70 °C. Consequently, D3 deprives claims 2, 4-8, 10-12 and 14-21 of novelty.

In conclusion, claims 2, 4-8 and 10-21 are not novel over D1-D3 and therefore do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2). Consequently, they do not satisfy the criterion of inventive step either (PCT Article 33(3)).

Claims 1, 3 and 9 are formally novel over D1-D3 (however, see the comments in Box VIII). However, since they do not sufficiently characterise the enzyme of the present application (see Box VIII), they cannot be deemed inventive (contrary to PCT Article 33(3)).

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1 attempts to characterise the enzyme of the present application by a homology of 50% to a 9-long amino acid sequence from the N terminus of the present amidase. Firstly, the term "N-terminal sequence" used here is unclear since it could relate both to a sequence from the N-terminal half of the protein and also to the amino acid sequence of the N-terminal end. Secondly, it is not clear whether such a definition, which encompasses numerous possibilities, but for which merely one example is given in the description, is sufficient to allow a person skilled in the art to carry out the invention across the entire range claimed, e.g. immediately to provide, on the basis of the description, enzymes with an amidase function which have merely one N-terminal sequence having 50% homology (! not identity) with SEQ 1 but which otherwise are not defined. Moreover, it is apparent from the description that such an amidase also has the properties of the one that was actually isolated, that is, it gives the enzyme these properties. Consequently, claim 1 is not only unclearly worded but also insufficiently supported and disclosed, contrary to PCT Articles 5 and 6. This is particularly clear from D4, which describes a putative DNA helicase from Salmonella enterica which has the sequence HMPDPD in amino acids 131-136, which amounts to 6 identical amino acids from 9 of SEQ 1, e.g. 67% identity (! not homology).

Claim 2 is subject to the same defect as claim 1, especially since sequences in amidases with up to 80% identity with SEQ 2 are described in the prior art.

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

Claims 9 and 10 are not correctly dependent since they relate in fact to claims 1-8, but are not dependent on the latter. In addition, they relate respectively to claims 2 or 1 as well, which do not, however, contain the sequences in question that are to be deleted. This is unclear within the meaning of PCT Article 6. In addition, if SEQ 1 and/or 2 were to be fully deleted and the claims were still to refer to claims 1 or 2, these claims would merely mean amidases, as is shown exemplarily hereinafter. For example, claim 9 would relate to an amidase which originally contained SEQ 1 but which is now fully deleted, e.g. only an amidase remains. This is not only unclear within the meaning of PCT Article 6, it is not novel either (PCT Article 33(2)). The same applies to sequences SEQ 1 or SEQ 2 which are partially deleted. Imagine one were to take an amidase containing SEQ 1 in the N-terminus, e.g., IHMPDPDAV, and partially delete I, H, M, D, D, A, V; what would be left would be an amidase with an N-terminal sequence PP. D2 describes an amidase containing the sequence PP N-terminally in positions 6 and 7. This unclear claim 9 would therefore not be novel over D2. The same applies to claim 10.

The term "homology" that is used in the claims is unclear since it does not define any identity to sequences and therefore leaves a very broad scope for interpretation, especially since no method is given for assessing this homology, e.g. what kind of homology table is ultimately used. In this regard, attention is drawn to the fact that the enzymes and genes of the application were compared with D1-D3 in the present report for identities.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/001430

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

Depending on the program and model, corresponding homologies are correspondingly higher, or at least equivalent to the identity (in the case of SEQ 2, if there were a 100% homology with D1, since the amino acids that are not identical, e.g. M8 and V10, are homologously exchanged M8Q and V10I).

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/001430

Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:</li> </ol>
a. type of material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in the international application as filed
filed together with the international application in computer readable form
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination  received by this Authority as an amendment* on
2. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
3. Additional comments:
The sequence listing in the description, pages
1-4 as originally filed.
<ul> <li>If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked "superseded."</li> </ul>